| 1  |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2  |  |  |  |
| 3  |  |  |  |
| 4  |  |  |  |
| 5  |  |  |  |
| 6  |  |  |  |
| 7  |  |  |  |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON                                      |  |  |
| 9  | AT TACOMA  |  |  |
| 10 | BRADLEY ALLEN GRUBHAM,   | CASE NO. C13-5646 RJB-JRC                      |  |
| 11 | Petitioner,  | ORDER CONTINUING THE STAY                      |  |
| 12 | v.   | IN THIS PETITION AND HOLDING IT IN ABEYANCE    |  |
| 13 | SUPERINTENDENT OBERLAND,   |  |  |
| 14 | Respondent.  |  |  |
| 15 | The District Court has referred this 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition to the undersigned                |  |  |
| 16 | Magistrate Judge. The Court stayed this matter and has held it in abeyance since November of     |  |  |
| 17 | 2013 (Dkt. 23). Petitioner has kept the Court informed of the progress of his personal restraint |  |  |
| 18 | petition in state court and filed status reports in April and May of 2014 (Dkt. 26 and 28).      |  |  |
| 19 | Petitioner asks that the stay be continued until his motion for discretionary review has been    |  |  |
| 20 | addressed by the Washington State Supreme Court (Dkt. 24). Respondent agrees that the action     |  |  |
| 21 | should remain stayed (Dkt. 27).  |  |  |
| 22 | District courts may use a stay-and-abeyance procedure while a petitioner exhausts his            |  |  |
| 23 | claims in state court. <i>Rhines v. Weber</i> , 544 U.S. 20                                      | 69, 275-77; Calderon v. United States District |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |

| 1  | Court (Taylor), 134 F.3d 981, 988 (9th Cir.1998). In determining whether the petition should be      |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | stayed, the Court must also "be mindful that AEDPA aims to encourage the finality of sentences       |  |
| 3  | and to encourage petitioners to exhaust their claims in state court before filing in federal court." |  |
| 4  | Wooten v. Kirkland, 540 F.3d 1019, 1024 (9th Cir. 2008), cert. denied, 556 U.S. 1285 (2009)          |  |
| 5  | (holding that petitioner's "impression" that defense counsel had exhausted all of the issues in      |  |
| 6  | state court did not fulfill the requirement to show "good cause").                                   |  |
| 7  | Both parties agree this action should be stayed. The Court grants petitioner's uncontested           |  |
| 8  | motion.  |  |
| 9  | The matter is stayed until November 14, 2014. Petitioner will file a report and, if needed,          |  |
| 10 | a motion to extend the stay on or before October 31, 2014 fourteen days before the stay ends.        |  |
| 11 | Petitioner will inform the Court of the status of his state proceedings. Petitioner's report will    |  |
| 12 | include the state court cause number. Further, if the state court dismisses the petition or          |  |
| 13 | terminates review, petitioner will inform the Court and file a motion to lift the stay within 30     |  |
| 14 | days of the state court taking action. Petitioner's failure to file a proper report or inform the    |  |
| 15 | Court of the termination of state review will result in the Court issuing a report and               |  |
| 16 | recommendation that this petition be dismissed.  |  |
| 17 | The Clerk's office is directed to remove Dkt. 24 from the Court's calendar.                          |  |
| 18 | Dated this 21 <sup>st</sup> day of May, 2014.  |  |
| 19 | Illand in the  |  |
| 20 | J. Richard Creatura  |  |
| 21 | United States Magistrate Judge   |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |